

DEVELOPMENTAL TRANSACTIONAL ANALYSIS: TA FOR GROWTH, -Piyush

Dixit & Eric Lee James

Abstract

This article offers a guide to Developmental Transactional Analysis (DTA) for TA practitioners, supporting both personal and professional growth in the fields of Education, Counselling, and Organization. It serves as an invitation to explore DTA, highlighting the philosophical basis of DTA and the practical ways its utility can enhance developmental work.

Key Words: Autonomy, Strokes, Life Script , Contract, Physis, Growth, Resource-building, Developmental Transactional Analysis, Counseling, Education, Organization

Introduction

DTA is characterized by a fundamental philosophical shift from a "deficit trap" (focusing on what's broken) to a 'Physis focus' (emphasizing inherent health and potential). Citing Julie Hay (2012), the article posits: "we are not broken: we are growing; we don't need fixing: we need fertilizer".

The core distinction lies in purpose:

- Psychotherapy aims for Script Cure, resolving psychological pain through past exploration, transference, and Script analysis (Clarkson, 1992; Erskine, 1980).
- DTA aims for health, growth, and autonomy within a present-day, future-focused context. It aligns with Positive Psychology by building on strengths and using here-and-now awareness (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000) and practical tools

like the Steps to Success (Hay, 2009), Autonomy Matrix (Stewart & Joines, 2002), etc.

DTA is presented in terms of three fields - Counselling, Education, and Organization - with specific contract, stakeholders and primary goals (e.g., managing multi-party contracts (English, 1975; Micholt, 1992) in Organization vs. predominantly dyadic contracts in Counselling.) The effectiveness of DTA is explained through its focus on resource-building (e.g., emotional literacy, boundary-setting, stroke economy), which echoes Fredrickson's Broaden-and-Build Theory (Fredrickson, 2001) by promoting positive emotional states necessary for sustained psychological growth. Through illustrative case studies, the article demonstrates how DTA's emphasis on skill and capacity development and provides an efficient, non-clinical route to behavioral change and autonomy, making TA's power accessible to leaders, coaches, counselors and educators. The article serves as a guide for practitioners to select their specialization within DTA by aligning their passion and accountability with the field's demands.

Setting the Stage

Let's start with an honest question for anyone dedicated to personal or professional development: Are you looking for a framework that drives tangible, sustainable growth without requiring clinical diagnosis and healing?

In the world of coaching (also in leadership, and Learning & Development), we (authors) often fall into what we call the 'deficit trap.' 'Soft skills' focus on interpersonal, behavioral, and emotional abilities that enable effective interaction with others and navigation of interpersonal dynamics, etc, distinct from technical 'hard skills'. We believe that when we focus on soft skills the language almost instinctively turns to 'fixing' what's broken. This

perspective, while well-intentioned, often overlooks the immense, inherent potential already present in every person: focusing on the problem to be solved instead of working with talent, waiting to be unleashed. But what if we shifted our lens entirely?

DTA is a fundamentally health-focused, growth-oriented approach that transfers the immense power of Transactional Analysis (TA) seen in therapy, into the hands of leaders, coaches, counselors and educators. The fundamental difference is not in the theory itself, but in how it is applied. We will now explore the focus, process, and tools of DTA.

The Physis-Informed Development Model

To operationalize the shift from a deficit model to a growth model, we propose the Physis-Informed Development Model. This framework synthesizes Berne's concept of Physis - the internal force of nature that eternally strives to make things grow (Berne, 1968) - with contemporary frameworks like the Broaden-and-Build Theory (Fredrickson, 2001) and Positive Psychology (Seligman, 2002).

While traditional clinical approaches often ask, 'What is broken?' (it is also reorienting and encouraging Physis - just in a different manner than the route DTA offers.) , DTA asks, 'Where is the growth trying to go?' (unleashing Physis). The liminal process might be understood as an episode in which physis loses direction. It is a time when the individual is likely to sense a loss in the capacity to thrive, even though it is a necessary episode by which growth occurs (Barrow, 2016). We apply this lens through three inquiries:

Inquiry	The Physis Focus (Unleashing Physis)	Theoretical Outcome

WHO are we developing?	Evolving Identity: Focus on 'I+U+' potential (Ernst, 1971). Viewing the person as a 'becoming' entity rather than a diagnosis.	Autonomy: The release of awareness, spontaneity, and intimacy (Berne, 1964).
WHAT are we developing?	Capacity Building: Focus on expanding existing strengths and 'fertilizing' latent potential (Hay, 2012).	Functional Fluency: Effective use of energy (Temple, 2002).
HOW are we developing?	Flow/Transformation: Psychological safety that encourages risk, play, and 'scaffolding' for growth.	The Growth Zone: Moving beyond the comfort zone into the learning zone without entering the panic zone (Vygotsky, 1978).

Table 1 : The Physis-Informed Development Matrix

By anchoring the 'Who, What, and How' in Physis, the practitioner shifts from a mechanic fixing a machine to a gardener tending an ecosystem.

Features of Developmental Transactional Analysis

TA is a robust theory with applications across several fields. DTA focuses on functional improvement in the 'here and now' without inviting the client to regress into Child. Elements in the table are borrowed from (Hay, 1995) and shows the potency of DTA:

Feature	Developmental TA (DTA)

Handling of the Past	Here-and-Now: We acknowledge and learn from the past but do not invite regression. The focus is on how the past impacts <i>current</i> performance and choices.
Handling of Transference	The facilitator operates primarily from their Integrating Adult (Summers and Tudor, 2000) and evokes the same in the client, while recognizing and leveraging the awareness of their counter-transference.
The Contract	Functional Change: Aiming to improve how the personality <i>functions</i> (building a stronger house on the existing foundation) aiming at social control and symptomatic relief (Berne,1961).
Tools (Examples)	Steps to Success (Hay, 2009): Based on the Discount Matrix (Mellor and Schiff, 1975), Julie Hay simplifies the levels of discount into a step-wise sequence, leading to “success”. Competence Curve (Hay, 2009): Julie Hay’s application of the model proposed by Levin-Landheer (1982), to what happens when someone goes through a change process as an adult BARS and PRO success (Hay, 2009): Julie Hay presents a simplified model for the Racket system (Erskine and Zalcman (1979) that demonstrates more clearly the circular and self-fulfilling prophecy of this racket system.

Table 2 : Features of Developmental Transactional Analysis

PTA is essential when the core issue stems from deep-seated psychological pain or restrictive childhood decisions (Scripts), where the trauma may have happened in very early childhood (Hay, 2019) or sometimes in later developmental stages as well. DTA, on the other hand, aligns with Positive Psychology (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000) by deliberately

centering on the human drive—*Physis* (Berne, 1968, p.89)—to move toward health and autonomy. We aren't asking, "What went wrong?" We're asking, "How do you want to grow?" DTA prioritizes working with strengths and potential, contrasting with a primary focus on the deficit mindset. While both approaches may acknowledge strengths or deficits as part of a continuum, DTA deliberately and primarily centers its intervention on the individual's inherent capabilities and what they want to grow into, rather than dwelling on the problem to be solved.

Exploring Origins

Historically, psychotherapy was the original application of TA theory, with the other fields of specialization emerging as the theory's versatility was recognized. Table 3 outlines our interpretation of DTA has evolved in parallel with other dominant psychological approaches in each decade, demonstrating the versatility of its core theory as new applications and focus areas emerged in response to prevailing psychological thought.

Era	Dominant Psychological Approach	Transactional Analysis Focus (School/Model)	Core Focus/Philosophy	Key Concepts/Tools
1960s (Origin)	Psychoanalysis/Clinical Focus (Focus on Illness & Dysfunction) (Berne, 1960)	TA-Psychotherapy (Classical School)	Focus on Pathology & Script Cure.	Ego States, Transactions, Games, Life Script.
1970s– 1980s	Cognitive & Behavioral Therapies (CBT, Learned	Classical, Redecision, Cathexis TA	Focus on Healing & Change (Deficit/Conflict	Injunctions, Redecision, Reparenting

	Helplessness, Depression Models) (Beck, 1970)	(Expanding Applications)	Models). Application expanded beyond clinical setting.	(methods varied by school).
1990s– Present	Positive Psychology (Optimism, Strengths, Well-being) (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000) Cognitive Neuroscience: Gazzaniga, M. S., Ivry, R. B., & Mangun, G. R. (1998) Cultural Psychology (Bruner, 1990)	Relational TA (Hargaden & Sills, 2002), Co-creative TA (Summer & Tudor, 2000), Eco TA (Barrow & Marshal, 2020), Developmental TA (Hay,2012)	Focus on Well-being & Growth (Physis). People are not broken; they are growing. Change is achieved by building resources now.	Physis, Autonomy Matrix, Steps to Success, Health & Development Contract.

Table 3 : Evolution of Developmental Transactional Analysis

The International Transactional Analysis Association (ITAA), founded in 1964, formalized the application of TA into four distinct fields. Julie Hay coined the term Developmental Transactional Analysis (DTA) in the mid-1990s, not as a new field of TA, but a specific *approach* applied within the non-psychotherapy fields. The DTA approach can be described in terms of its focus and primary goals as follows:

Field	Core Focus of TA Application	Primary Goal
-------	------------------------------	--------------

Counselling	Building awareness, options, and resources for daily living. (James & Jongeward, 1971)	Enhance client strengths and identify/build their resources and skills relevant for problem management and personal development.
Education	Applying TA to the learning process (pedagogical and androgogical strategies, learner identity). (Olander, 1983)	Enhance learning effectiveness.
Organization	Systemic contracts, organizational culture, and strategic goals.(Summers & Tuckett, 1987)	Improve organizational productivity and effectiveness by linking TA principles to performance.

Table 3: Fields of Developmental Transactional Analysis Application

These distinctions are not strict boundaries but practical ways to ensure the contract—the ethical agreement for change—is relevant to the system the individual operates within and lies within the core competency of the practitioner. While the fields of application differ in their stakeholders, the underlying DTA process maintains a structural consistency regardless of the setting. This structured approach to intervention begins with a set of three core inquiries of DTA that create the roadmap for change.

The Core Inquiries of DTA: The Roadmap for Intervention

To apply Developmental Transactional Analysis effectively, practitioners need a clear structure to distinguish it from psychotherapy. Drawing on Julie Hay's (2012) distinction between 'developmental' and 'remedial' work, we propose a framework of three essential inquiries. This roadmap allows the practitioner to operationalize the DTA philosophy, ensuring the intervention remains focused on the individual's inherent potential, specific resource building, and a measurable process for change.

Who are we developing?	Focus on Potential	Concept Source: Aligned with the TA philosophy of Physis and Autonomy (Berne, 1964). Application: We assume the Physis is active, and our job is simply to provide the right nourishment to break free towards autonomy—the capacity to respond authentically rather than react habitually.
What are we developing?	Focus on Resources	Concept Source: Aligned with Hay's (2012) emphasis on 'competence' and Temple's (2002) Functional Fluency. Application: DTA focuses on building immediate, practical skills such as managing emotions, setting boundaries, and effectively giving and receiving strokes. This emphasis on resource-building strongly aligns with Fredrickson's (2001) Broaden-and-Build Theory.

How will they develop?	Focus on the Process	● Concept Source: Aligned with the Contracting principles of DTA (English, 1975; Micholt, 1992). ● Application: Development is structured and intentional through explicit Multi-Party Contracts and here-and-now modeling.
------------------------	----------------------	---

Table 4: The Core Inquiries and Focus Areas of Developmental Transactional Analysis Intervention

DTA in Action: An Application View

To understand the versatility of Developmental Transactional Analysis (DTA), we examine its application across three distinct fields. While the core concepts (Ego States, Strokes, Scripts) remain constant, the *contract* and *intervention* shift to suit the context.

1. Organization Context

The focus here is on Role Clarity, Leadership Capability, and Systemic Health.

Example: Sarah, a newly promoted Vice President who is technically brilliant but struggling to lead her former peers. She is exhibiting high stress and micromanaging behaviors.

- **Who are we developing:** A high-potential leader operating primarily from a "Be Perfect" Driver (Kahler, 1975) and an Adapted Child ego state. Sarah believes she must "do it all" to justify her promotion, leading to burnout and a refusal to delegate. She is professionally competent but psychologically insecure in her new identity.
- **What are we developing:** We are developing Role Autonomy (Berne, 1964) and Functional Fluency (Temple, 2002). The goal is to shift Sarah from a defensive

"doing" mode (over-functioning) to a "leading" mode (empowering) (Temple, 2002).

We aim to replace her "Be Perfect" driver with the allow: *"You are good enough as you are, and it is safe to make mistakes."* (Kahler, 1975)

- **How are we developing:**

- Driver Analysis: We use the Drivers Questionnaire to help Sarah recognize her "Be Perfect" compulsion.
- The Contract: We establish a "Social Contract" for delegation and a "Psychological Contract" for safety—assuring her that delegating is not a sign of weakness.
- Stroking Profile: We restructure her Stroke Economy. Instead of only accepting strokes for "working hard" (doing), she learns to accept strokes for "being" and "managing" (leading).

2. Counselling Context (Coaching/Guidance)

The focus here is on Problem Solving, Life Transitions, and Emotional Literacy. **Example:**

David, a mid-career professional feeling "stuck" and resentful. He feels overlooked by his family and undervalued at work but refuses to speak up.

- **Who are we developing:** A client in a state of Impasse (Goulding & Goulding, 1979), oscillating between a Rescuing Parent (doing everything for others) and a Victim Child (feeling powerless) (Karpman, 1968). David is discounting his own ability to solve problems, waiting for others to change.
- **What are we developing:** We are developing Adult Agency and Assertiveness. The goal is to move David from the Drama Triangle (Karpman, 1968) to the Winner's Triangle (Choy, 1990). We want him to express needs clearly (assertion) rather than silently suffering (victimhood).

- **How are we developing:**

- The Racket System: We map out his "Racket Feelings" (resentment) and identify the underlying authentic need.(Erskine & Zalcman, 1979)
- Discounting Matrix: We confront his discounting of his own power. We ask: *"What are you doing to stop yourself from speaking up?" (Mellor & Schiff, 1975)*
- Options: We use the Options Tool to brainstorm Adult behaviors he can take today, moving him out of the passive "stuck" state into active problem-solving. (Karpman, 1971)

3. Education Context

The focus here is on Learning Imagoes, Psychological Safety, and Student Engagement.

Example: Maya, a quiet student who performs well on written exams but freezes when asked to participate in group discussions or speak publicly.

- Who are we developing: A learner with a restrictive Learning Imago—specifically the "Invisible Pupil" (Newton, 2003). Maya operates under the injunction *"Don't be Important"* or *"Don't Show Off."* (Goulding & Goulding, 1979) She views the teacher as a "Judge" rather than a "Resource." (Napper & Newton, 2014)
- What are we developing: We are developing Psychological Safety and Risk-Taking Capability. The objective is to expand her comfort zone so she can engage in Social Learning (Bandura, 1977). We want to shift her internal script from *"I must hide to be safe"* to *"It is safe to be seen and heard."*(Goulding & Goulding, 1979)
- How are we developing:

- Permissions: The educator provides specific Permissions (protection) by validating small contributions, signaling that mistakes are part of learning, not grounds for punishment. (Crossman, 1966)
- Contracting for Safety: We create clear ground rules (Administrative Contract (Steiner, 1971)) that prevent other students from laughing or interrupting (protection), making the environment safe for her Free Child to emerge.
- Scaffolding Strokes (Wood et al., 1976): The educator provides consistent Unconditional Positive Strokes (Steiner, 1971) for her presence, followed by careful Conditional Positive Strokes for her initial, small verbal contributions.

Choosing Your DTA Path: Guidance for the Practitioner

For a TA practitioner looking to apply DTA, choosing a field requires self-reflection to align one's core interests with the field's demands. Based on ITAA Handbook (Section 5, 2022, Page 2), here are some questions that a practitioner can ask oneself to reflect on their choice of field:

Reflective Area	Counselling Field	Education Field	Organizational Field
Passion/Focus	Am I most pulled to working with personal crises, relationship dynamics, and life-skill enhancement?	Do I enjoy designing curricula, running dynamic groups, and fostering learner responsibility?	Am I interested in business outcomes, team performance, and navigating organizational culture and hierarchy?

Accountability	Am I prepared to establish, maintain, and evaluate professional contracts for specific problems? Am I capable of updating these agreements as the process evolves to support the client's development of awareness and problem-solving skills?	Am I prepared to be accountable for enhancing the learning process and fostering personal growth within educational systems? Can I manage contracts that integrate social and emotional development with curriculum or institutional goals?	Can I confidently manage multi-party contracts that align the organization's strategic goals with the well-being and professional development of its people, ensuring ethical accountability to all stakeholders?
----------------	--	---	---

Intervention Style (How DTA works in this field)	Do I intervene by engaging the client's Adult ego state to mobilize existing strengths and resources, rather than inviting regression? Am I equipped to identify crisis situations, ensuring client protection while knowing when to ethically refer to medical or psychotherapeutic professionals without delay?	Do I intervene to create psychological safety and promote autonomy within the learning environment? Do I focus on identifying and modifying Learning Images (Barrow, 2007) and using Permissions (Crossman, 1966) to support the learner's personal growth alongside their scholastic achievement?	Do I intervene at the system level to enhance organizational effectiveness and culture? Do I use structural analysis and boundary management to align the organization's goals with the health and autonomy of its people, ensuring clear contracts for change?
--	--	---	--

Table 6: Reflective Guide for TA Practitioners: Aligning Interests with DTA Specialization Fields

By answering these questions honestly, the TA practitioner can map their professional compass to the specific rewards and demands of the Counselling, Education, or Organizational field within DTA.

Conclusion: Extending TA applications in the larger context

DTA is the bridge that makes the immense power of TA accessible and memorable in all developmental contexts. It is important to remember that DTA stands firmly on the solid, proven theories of TA across its diverse schools - it simply shifts the *application* from pathology to growth and thriving.

If your role/interest involves nurturing human growth - as a leader, counsellor, educator, faculty member, principal, a coach, or an L&D professional - DTA offers a practical, powerful, and ethical framework for commitment to growth, not just problem-solving.

References

Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.

Barrow, G. (2007). Learning imagoes. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 37(4), 265–270.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/036215370703700403>

Barrow, G. (2016). Physis, transformation, and the cycle of development. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 46(4), 282–295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0362153716664274>

Barrow, G., & Marshall, H. (2020). Ecological transactional analysis: Principles for a new movement. *The Transactional Analyst*, 10(2), 5–8.

Beck, A. T. (1970). Cognitive therapy: Nature and relation to behavior therapy. *Behavior Therapy*, 1(2), 184–200. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894\(70\)80030-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894(70)80030-2)

Berne, E. (1960). A psychiatric census of the South Pacific. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 117(1), 89–92.

Berne, E. (1961). *Transactional analysis in psychotherapy: A systematic individual and social psychiatry*. Grove Press.

Berne, E. (1964). *Games people play: The psychology of human relationships*. Grove Press.

Berne, E. (1966). *Principles of group treatment*. Oxford University Press.

Berne, E. (1968). *A layman's guide to psychiatry and psychoanalysis*. Simon & Schuster.

Bruner, J. S. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Harvard University Press.

Clarkson, P. (1992). *Transactional analysis psychotherapy: An integrated approach*. Routledge.

Crossman, P. (1966). Permission and protection. *Transactional Analysis Bulletin*, 5(19), 152–154.

English, F. (1975). The three-cornered contract. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 5(4), 383–384. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215377500500416>

Ernst, F. H. (1971). The OK Corral: The grid for get-on-with. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 1(4), 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215377100100408>

Erskine, R. G. (1980). Script cure: Behavioral, intrapsychic and physiological. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 10(2), 102–106. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215378001000206>

Erskine, R. G., & Zalcman, M. J. (1979). The racket system: A model for racket analysis. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 9(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215377900900112>

Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226.

Gazzaniga, M. S., Ivry, R. B., & Mangun, G. R. (1998). *Cognitive neuroscience: The biology of the mind*. W. W. Norton & Company

Goulding, M. M., & Goulding, R. L. (1979). *Changing lives through redecision therapy*. Brunner/Mazel.

Hargaden, H., & Sills, C. (2002). *Transactional analysis: A relational perspective*. Brunner-Routledge.

Hay, J. (1995). *Donkey bridges for developmental TA: Making transactional analysis memorable and accessible*. Sherwood Publishing.

Hay, J. (2009). *Transactional analysis for trainers* (2nd ed.). Sherwood Publishing.

Hay, J. (2012). *Donkey bridges for Developmental TA*. Sherwood Publishing.

Hay, J. (2012). *Transactional analysis for trainers* (3rd ed.). Sherwood Publishing.

Hay, J. (2018). What is TA Counselling—or is it Coaching? *IDTA Newsletter Volume 13 Issue 2* June 2018.

James, M., & Jongeward, D. (1971). *Born to win: Transactional Analysis with Gestalt experiments*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Joines, V., & Stewart, I. (2002). *Personality adaptations: A new guide to human understanding in psychotherapy and counseling*. Lifespace Publishing.

Karpman, S. (1971). Options. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 1(1), 79–87.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/036215377100100117>

ITAA Handbook Section 5 (2022),

<https://membersarea.itaaworld.com/sites/default/files/itaa-pdfs/train-hndbk/2022Handbook/section5-The%20four%20fields.pdf>

Kahler, T. (1975). Drivers: The Key to the process of scripts. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 5(3), 289–291. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215377500500318>

Karpman, S. (1968). Fairy tales and script drama analysis. *Transactional Analysis Bulletin*, 7(26), 39–43.

Levin-Landheer, P. (1982). The cycle of development. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 12(2), 129–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215378201200207>

Micholt, N. (1992). Psychological distance and group interventions. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 22(4), 228–233. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215379202200406>

Mellor, K., & Schiff, E. (1975). Discounting. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 5(3), 295–302. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215377500500319>

Napper, R., & Newton, T. (2014). *Tactics: Transactional analysis concepts for all trainers, teachers and tutors* (2nd ed.). TA Resources.

Newton, T. (2003). Identifying educational philosophy and practice through imagoes. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 33(4), 321–331. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215370303300407>

Olander, G. (1983). The application of transactional analysis in the learning process. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 13(2), 99–103.

Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 5–14.

Stewart, I., & Joines, V. (2012). *TA today: A new introduction to Transactional Analysis*. Lifespace Publishing. (Original work published 1987)

Steiner, C. (1971). The stroke economy. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 1(3), 9–15.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/036215377100100303>

Summers, G., & Tuckett, R. (1987). The corporate script. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 17(4), 196–211.

Summers, G., & Tudor, K. (2000). Cocreative transactional analysis. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 30(1), 23–40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215370003000104>

Temple, S. (2002). Functional fluency: A guide to the application of the functional model of ego states. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 32(3), 186–192.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/036215370203200306>

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Harvard University Press.

Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89–100.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1976.tb00381.x>